



Spring 2016
Volume 16, Edition 1

JSOM

JOURNAL of SPECIAL OPERATIONS MEDICINE™



THE JOURNAL FOR OPERATIONAL MEDICINE AND TACTICAL CASUALTY CARE



Inside this Issue:

- › Case Report: Needling Techniques for Chronic Neck Pain
- › Case Report: Machine Learning and Hemodynamic Instability
- › In Brief: Comparing C-A-T® Gen 6 to Prototype Gen 7
- › TCCC Guidelines: XStat™ Sponge for External Hemorrhage
- › Comparison of Tactical Tourniquets
- › Junctional Tourniquet Testing for Groin Hemorrhage
- › SOF Testing of CROc and JETT Tourniquets
- › Triage Accuracy and Reliability During OEF
- › Point-of-Care Ultrasound Update
- › Editorials: SOLCUS After-Action Report, USASOC Division of Science & Technology, Traumatic Brain Injury, and Power to the People
- › Letters to the Editor: Needle Decompression and The Hartford Consensus
- › Ongoing Series: Clinical Corner, Human Performance Optimization, Infectious Diseases, Injury Prevention, Law Enforcement & Tactical Medicine, Operational Medicine in the Austere Environment, Picture This, Prolonged Field Care, Special Talk, World of Special Operations Medicine, TCCC Updates, TacMed Updates, and more!

*Dedicated to the
Indomitable Spirit
and Sacrifices of
the SOF Medic*

A Peer-Reviewed Journal that Brings Together the Global Interests of Special Operations' First Responders

LETTERS to the EDITOR

Needle Decompression

by Nicholas M. Studer, MD, EMT-P; Gregory T. Horn, MD

While the incidence of tension pneumothorax in American war-wounded Servicemembers has decreased since the introduction of body armor, it remains one of the “big three” causes of preventable combat death.¹⁻³ Needle thoracostomy remains the treatment of choice for decompressing trapped air from bronchopleural fistulas, “sucking chest wounds,” or some combination thereof.⁴ While needle decompression (ND) superficially appears to be a technically simple procedure, it is often performed by providers with minimal training; almost all deployed personnel are responsible for this skill because it is taught during Combat Lifesaver courses for lay field-care personnel.^{3,5} The authors have identified several common pitfalls during cadaver- and animal-based training of field medical personnel that have not been previously emphasized in common training guidance.

There are several brands of catheter-over-needle devices commonly sold for battlefield ND. The original was the Becton Dickinson 14-gauge × 3.25-inch Angiocatheter for Special Placement (BD; <http://www.bd.com>), which is still packaged in civilian medical format with a peel-open sleeve.⁶ Users must be aware that the plastic sheath on the device consists of two separate pieces, with a slip-on extension of plastic. The authors have observed novice users under stress attempting to use the device after pulling off the extension without removing the main portion of the sheath, resulting in premature stoppage at approximately a 1-inch depth into the chest, an insufficient depth to be effective.^{7,8} Instructors should encourage trainees to grasp the sheath at the proximal end, not the tip, when removing. Additionally, users must be instructed to remove the rear flashback chamber cap to allow air to exit the needle and potentially allow for hearing or feeling air release upon entering the pleural cavity. The current Tactical Combat Casualty Care skill sheet does include the following instructions: “Remove the plastic cap from the 3.25-inch, 14-gauge needle. Also remove the cover to the needle’s flash chamber.”⁹ However, it is not at all clear to novice users that, due to the BD product design, there are effectively two plastic sheaths and a back cap, in addition to the casing in which the device is shipped.

Casualty care supply vendors Combat Medical Systems (<http://www.combatmedicalsystems.com>), H&H Medical (<http://buyhandh.com>), and North American Rescue Products (<http://www.narescue.com>) produce near-identical ruggedized packaging that is similar to a cigar tube.¹⁰ They omit the flash cap and have a single-component protective sheath, thus eliminating the problems with using the BD device (Figure 1). For this reason, they are specified for most field medical equipment sets. However, the BD version is often purchased by medical units because it can be inexpensively ordered from standard medical-surgical supply vendors and, thus, is frequently encountered by personnel who may not have previously trained with it.

Figure 1 Currently available devices.



Moreover, with both brands, we have observed users grasp the orange-colored plastic catheter while attempting to insert the device into the chest. With this technique, the needle within the catheter is only held by friction, and the cutting tip is quickly pushed inside the plastic catheter. Little force is applied to the rigid needle, and the catheter typically kinks or “accordion” at the distal end—a known cause of needle decompression failures.¹¹ In effect, the user has changed a sharp device into a blunt trocar that is difficult to force through the thick muscles of the chest. This phenomenon, with resultant failure to penetrate the chest, is visible in recent nationally televised footage of casualty evacuations in Afghanistan and probably occurs more frequently with an anterior approach due to glancing rib impacts and the depth of penetration required at this angle.¹² Providers must be reminded that the needle and its cutting tip are the central focus

of the procedure, and the needle hub is the proper grasping location. In this manner, the catheter will be carried through the chest along with the needle itself. Frequently, we and other instructors have observed the catheter being advanced off the rigid needle into the skin and muscle of the chest wall before the catheter has entered the pleural space.¹² However, we have also seen users cover the end of the needle with a thumb or finger, occluding the exit for any air that may be detected upon pleural cavity entrance.

Training for this procedure must not overlook these small mistakes in technique that can, and have, led to device failure in actual combat casualties. We recommend their inclusion in training guidelines and skill sheets throughout the Armed Forces.

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Disclaimers

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the US Army Medical Department, the US Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army and Department of Defense, or the US Government.

References

1. Army Material Command. Wound Data and Munitions Effectiveness Team (WDMET) study. Access controlled by the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland.
2. McPherson JJ, Feigin DS, Bellamy RE. Prevalence of tension pneumothorax in fatally wounded combat casualties. *J Trauma*. 2006;60:573–578.
3. Butler FK, Holcomb JB, Giebner SD, et al. Tactical Combat Casualty Care 2007: evolving concepts and battlefield experience. *Mil Med*. 2007;172(11 suppl):1–19.
4. Eckstein M, Suyehara D. Needle thoracostomy in the prehospital setting. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 1998;2:132–135.
5. Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General. Memorandum: management of Soldiers with tension pneumothorax. 2006.
6. BD. Product catalog: IV catheters: product number: 382268. <http://catalog.bd.com/nexus-ecat/getProductDetail?productId=382268&categoryId=1194>. Accessed 21 June 2015.
7. Zengerink I, Brink PR, Laupland KB, et al. Needle thoracostomy in the treatment of a tension pneumothorax in trauma patients: what size needle? *J Trauma*. 2008;64:111–114.
8. Ball CG, Wyrzykowski AD, Kirkpatrick AW, et al. Thoracic needle decompression for tension pneumothorax: clinical correlation with catheter length. *J Can Chir*. 2010;53:184–188.
9. National Association of Emergency Medical Technicians. TCCC guidelines and curriculum. https://www.naemt.org/education/TCCC/guidelines_curriculum. Accessed 18 October 2015.
10. Slevin W. Material safety considerations: material quality may impact treatment of the second leading cause of preventable death in the battlefield. <https://www.narescue.com>

/media/NAR/product-info-sheets/EP-ARS.pdf. Accessed 21 June 2015.

11. Beckett A, Savage E, Pannell D, et al. Needle decompression for tension pneumothorax in tactical combat casualty care: do catheters placed in the midaxillary line kink more often than those in the midclavicular line? *J Trauma*. 2011;71:S408–S412.
12. Harcke HT, Mabry RL, Mazuchowski EL. Needle thoracostomy decompression: observations from postmortem computed tomography and autopsy. *J Spec Oper Med*. 2013;13:53–58.

CPT Studer, MC, FS, USA is with the Multinational Force & Observers, US Army Task Force Sinai, El Gora, Egypt. E-mail: nicholas.m.studer2.mil@mail.mil.

CPT Horn, MC, USA is with the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Naval Support Annex, Bethesda, Maryland.

KEYWORDS: *needle thoracostomy; tension pneumothorax; Combat Medic; medical training*